To whom it may concern:

The present EA on C&H is even more flawed than the first. This time a real live consulting expert from more than 100 miles away, Cardno, has rendered the EA, and chosen to blatantly ignore even more data from more experts in the various disciplines involved to reach the FONSI conclusion.

You have relied extensively on BCRET data while discounting all of any other data no matter the excellent credentials of the sources. (Virtually none of which supports a FONSI).

By so doing, Cardno is committing a professional, and probably legal Ethics Violation for which there is legal recourse.

BRWA, in their response to your proposed final finding, has presented the detailed rebuttals (which I endorse) to your basis for your conclusions, so I will not reiterate them here for you entertainment, but I will remind you Cardno bears full responsibility for your work, and you are, based on the total evidence presented for consideration, perpetrated an insidious deprivation of full public use of a National Park. If you have taken your task less than completely seriously, please re-consider before it is too late, there will be serious consequences.

It will not do to simply dismiss sound factual data because you can ignore the source.
Sincerely,

Duane W. Woltjen
821 Applebury Drivie
Fayetteville, AR 72701

Ozarktraveler1@att.net
479-521-7032