IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

)))
)) Civil Action No. 4:13-CV-450 DPM
) CIVII ACUOII NO. 4.13-C V-430 DPM
)
))

PLAINTIFFS' RULE 56.1 STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS AS TO WHICH THERE IS NO GENUINE DISPUTE

Pursuant to Local Rule 56.1, Plaintiffs annex to their Motion for Summary Judgment this concise statement of material facts as to which they contend there is no genuine dispute.

I. THE BUFFALO NATIONAL RIVER

- 1. One hundred-thirty five miles of the Buffalo River the Buffalo National River is a national park unit administered by the National Park Service. Answer to Amended Complaint ¶¶ 2, 23, ECF No. 20 ("Ans.").
- 2. The entire length of the 150-mile Buffalo River is listed on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory of rivers that potentially qualify as wild, scenic, or recreational rivers. Ans. ¶ 25.
- 3. Four species protected under the Endangered Species Act inhabit the Buffalo River watershed: the endangered Gray Bat, the endangered Indiana Bat, the endangered snuffbox mussel, and the threatened rabbitsfoot mussel. Ans. ¶ 69.

4. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service discussed the sensitivity of the karst in the Buffalo River watershed in a July 5, 2012, letter in the FSA administrative record. FSA-845 to 848.

II. FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' LOAN GUARANTEES

- 5. On November 16, 2012, the Small Business Administration ("SBA") authorized a guarantee for 75 percent of a \$2,318,200 loan to assist C&H Hog Farms, Inc. ("C&H") in purchasing land and constructing buildings for its operation. P-17 to 20, 1188.
- 6. On December 17, 2012, the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Farm Service Agency ("FSA") approved a 90 percent guarantee for a \$1,302,000 farm ownership loan to C&H for the purchase of land and construction of C&H's operation. FSA-1114 to 1116; Ans. ¶ 98,

III. C&H HOG FARMS, INC.

- 7. C&H is located in Newton County, Arkansas, approximately six stream miles from the Buffalo River along Big Creek, a tributary of the Buffalo River. Ans. ¶ 71.
- 8. C&H will be the first facility classified as a "Large Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation ("CAFO")" anywhere in the Buffalo River watershed. Ans. ¶ 3.
- 9. C&H is operating under a state permit that authorizes it to discharge effluent to receiving waters. FSA-728 to 730.
- 10. C&H confines 6,503 swine, which will generate waste amounting to more than 92,000 pounds of nitrogen and more than 31,000 pounds of phosphorus each year. Ans. ¶ 77.
- 11. C&H handles this waste by collecting it in two open-air storage ponds, Ans. ¶ 3, then spreading the waste on 17 fields, or "approximately 630.7 acres" of land in the surrounding area. P-807, 863; FSA-729.

- 12. Testing by engineering consultants indicated that one storage pond has a seepage rate of approximately 3,448 gallons per acre per day and the other storage pond, a seepage rate of approximately 4,064 gallons per acre per day. P-731.
 - 13. Nine of C&H's waste application fields are located along Big Creek. Ans. ¶ 81.
- 14. USDA Erosion Calculation Records indicate that seven of C&H's waste application fields are "occasionally flooded." P-840 to 855.
- 15. C&H's Nutrient Management Plan ("NMP") is incorporated into C&H's permit, FSA-730, and is required to comply with the Arkansas Phosphorus Index, FSA-739, P-817, 818.
- 16. The NMP contains no Phosphorus Index assessment for four of the five fields (Fields 5, 6, 7, and 9) on which C&H plans to dispose of a majority of its swine waste. P-831 (missing P Index Range for four fields); FSA-248, 249; *see also* Ans. ¶ 84.
- 17. The NMP includes Soil Analysis Reports prepared by the University of Arkansas's Division of Agriculture, which indicate that 15 of C&H's 17 waste application fields already are at "optimum" or "above optimum" levels of phosphorus. P-885 to 902.
- 18. The University of Arkansas's Division of Agriculture recommends against additional phosphorus application on these 15 fields. P-885 to 902.

IV. SBA REVIEW IN CONNECTION WITH LOAN GUARANTEE

- 19. SBA did not consult with the National Park Service prior to approving its loan guarantee. Ans. ¶ 96.
- 20. SBA did not undertake any environmental review pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act in approving its loan guarantee. *See* Ans. ¶¶ 95, 139.
- 21. FSA does not identify SBA as a cooperating agency in its Environmental Assessment ("EA"). FSA-1033.

22. SBA did not consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service about the impacts of its action on species listed under the Endangered Species Act. Ans. ¶ 97.

V. FSA REVIEW IN CONNECTION WITH LOAN GUARANTEE

- 23. FSA did not consult with the National Park Service prior to approving its loan guarantee. FSA-1103 to 1113.
- 24. FSA prepared a Class II EA, FSA-1032 to 1043, and issued a Finding of No Significant Impact ("FONSI") dated August 24, 2012, prior to approving its loan guarantee. *See* FSA-1029 to 1030.
- 25. The notices of availability of the draft EA and of the FONSI were published each for three days only in the Arkansas Democrat Gazette, a state publication based in Little Rock, Arkansas. *See* FSA-1011, 1031; Ans. ¶ 133.
- 26. The FONSI was made available for comment for 15 days after the day the FONSI was signed and dated. FSA-1030, 1031.
- 27. The EA does not identify the Mount Judea school that is located 0.7 miles from C&H. Ans. ¶ 89; *see* FSA-1032 to 1043.
 - 28. The EA does not identify the Buffalo River. See FSA-1032 to 1043.
- 29. The EA does not identify any state-designated Extraordinary Resource Water. See FSA-1032 to 1043
- 30. The EA does not consider any action alternatives to the proposed action. FSA-1036-37.
 - 31. The EA does not identify any mitigation measures. *Id.* at 1040.

- 32. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has not concurred in an "effect determination" about the impacts of the proposed C&H construction on species protected by the Endangered Species Act. FSA-843, 848.
- 33. The FSA's administrative record reflects no "effect determination" made by FSA, apart from the assertion in its EA that FSA received "clearance" from Fish and Wildlife Service. *See* FSA-1038, 1043.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of March, 2014,

/s/ Hannah Chang
Admitted Pro Hac Vice
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Earthjustice
48 Wall Street, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Telephone: (212) 845-7382
Email: hchang@earthjustice.org

Marianne Engelman Lado Admitted *Pro Hac Vice* Attorney for Plaintiffs Earthjustice 48 Wall Street, 19th Floor New York, NY 10005 Telephone: (212) 845-7393 Email: mengelmanlado@earthjustice.org

Monica Reimer
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*Attorney for Plaintiffs
Earthjustice
111 South Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Telephone: (850) 681-0031
Email: mreimer@earthjustice.org

Kevin Cassidy Admitted *Pro Hac Vice* Attorney for Plaintiffs Earthrise Law Center P.O. Box 445 Norwell, MA 02061 Telephone: (781) 659-1696 Email: cassidy@lclark.edu

Hank Bates
Bar Number 98063
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bates Pulliam PLLC
11311 Arcade Dr., Suite 200
Little Rock, AR 72212
Telephone: (501) 312-8500
Email: hbates@cbplaw.com